of the second cause of action, which alleges a violation of the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act. It does not appear as though any issues exist regarding jurisdiction and further appears that no other

PORTILLO v. SARNEVESHT DEFENDANTS' SEPARATE CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

overtime wages allegedly earned. They further allege that they were not provided with proper

itemized wage statements and that they are entitled to various penalties. Defendants dispute

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

- the California Labor Commissioner's Office and not the federal court.

  3. There do not appear to be any disputed points of law.
- 4. No motions have been filed. Defendants believe that they are entitled to sanctions based on plaintiffs' failure to comply with the court's order of June 12, 2008 and plaintiffs' failure to cooperate with defendants with the preparation of a joint case management conference statement.

plaintiffs' claims. The claims alleged are relatively small and should properly have been filed with

Plaintiffs allege that they were employed by defendants and that they did not receive

- 5. Defendants do not expect to amend their answer, their only pleading on file to date.
- 6. Defendants have taken all reasonable steps to ensure the preservation of evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in the action.
  - 7. No disclosures pursuant to Fed. Rule of Civil Procedure 26 have been made.
  - 8. No discovery has been taken to date.
  - 9. This is not a class action.
  - 10. There are no related cases.
- 11. Plaintiffs ostensibly seek wages allegedly owed; defendants seek the immediate dismissal of this lawsuit and will seek an award of sanctions for plaintiffs' failure to comply with the court's order dated June 12, 2008.
- 12. Defendants' efforts to contact plaintiffs have been ignored and therefore any attempt at ADR has been pointless.
  - 13. Defendants consent to the appointment of a magistrate judge for all purposes.
- 14. This case is not suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the

2627

PORTILLO v. SARNEVESHT DEFENDANTS' SEPARATE CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

28

Document 14

Filed 09/04/2008

Page 3 of 3

Case 5:08-cv-00190-JW